

University of Mississippi

**eGrove**

---

Meeting Minutes

Faculty Senate

---

3-20-2012

**March 20, 2012**

University of Mississippi. Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: [https://egrove.olemiss.edu/facsen\\_minutes](https://egrove.olemiss.edu/facsen_minutes)

---

### Recommended Citation

University of Mississippi. Faculty Senate, "March 20, 2012" (2012). *Meeting Minutes*. 113.  
[https://egrove.olemiss.edu/facsen\\_minutes/113](https://egrove.olemiss.edu/facsen_minutes/113)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at eGrove. It has been accepted for inclusion in Meeting Minutes by an authorized administrator of eGrove. For more information, please contact [egrove@olemiss.edu](mailto:egrove@olemiss.edu).

## Agenda

- Senator Albritton opened the meeting at 7:00 p.m.
- First order of business: Approve minutes of last meeting
  - Moved
    - Seconded
    - Approved unanimously
- Second order of business: Report on Plus/Minus Grading by Dr. Eftink
  - Plus/minus grading was approved in 2004
    - Tabled ca. 2006
    - Revived ca. 2009
    - Task force created implementation which was then acted upon
  - Results
    - A's, A-'s, B+'s, B's, B-'s slightly decreased
    - C+'s, C's, C-'s, D's, F's slightly increased
    - Greater tendency to assign + grades, but more – grades are available
    - Percentages over 5 years vary quite a bit; above changes not statistically significant
    - Number of students on probation increased by 17-18%
    - 5-year data for these is not available
    - Number of students with 4.0's decreased by 20-25%
    - 5-year data for these is not available
    - C-'s counting as C's for prerequisites are cause for some concern
    - Same for standards for repeating grades
  - Questions
  - Question: was the large number of at-risk freshman flooding the school taken into account?
    - Dr. Eftink: ACT scores and retention rates were comparable to 2010; there are concerns but data has not borne concerns out
    - Question: Wouldn't a 8% increase in students result in a "natural" increase in lower grades?
      - Dr. Eftink: Yes, that is a possibility
    - Question: what about D's? With no D+ or D- have they stayed the same?
      - Dr. Eftink: They have been more or less similar, but since D's and F's are forgivable and repeatable many of them are results of students ending class participation altogether
    - Dr. Stocks: C-'s are repeatable but not forgivable; D's and F's are repeatable and forgivable
    - Comment: many numbers are not statistically significant; be careful with data
    - Dr. Eftink: That has been taken into account

- Comment: Placement in programs with GPA requirements might also be tracked
  - Dr. Eftink: is difficult to do so.
- Third order of business: Senate Committee Reports
  - Exec. Cmte.
    - None
  - Acad. Affairs
    - None
  - Acad. Support
    - None
  - Finance
    - None
  - Univ. Services
    - None
  - Gov'ce
    - None
- Fourth order of business: Old Business – Tobacco-Free Campus Policy
  - Tabled during last meeting; move to untable and discuss
    - Moved
    - Seconded
    - Approved
  - Question: Can both smoke-free and tobacco-free pass?
    - Smoke-free would be overridden by tobacco-free
  - Comment: seems rather draconian
  - Comment: Why can't existing rules be enforced?
  - Comment: negates many people's ways of coping/easing off of their addictions
  - Sen. Barnett: Concerns from last discussion reiterated
    - Will impact recruitment
      - 27% of Mississippi adults smoke
      - E-cigarette ban is particular concern
  - Sen. Solinger: May affect staff more so than faculty
    - Staff does not have luxury and flexible schedule to get off-campus to smoke
    - Sen. Barnett: Anecdotally, facilities staff have expressed concerned
  - Comment: Smoke-free campus would be positive recruitment tool
  - Question: What would university do to help affected people? Perhaps a set of guidelines would assist.
  - Sen. Albritton: Language of resolution pledges support for such efforts, if vaguely, through the health system
  - Comment: there is a program through student health currently in existence; medications are no longer free, though faculty/staff/community members are all eligible
  - Sen. Albritton: Sponsor has solicited feedback and suggestions
  - Sen. Lobur: "Uncompromising nature" of proposal is a concern; perhaps a more gradual implementation is called for

- Comment: Concern over small part that covers cessation program; program may be underfunded, understaffed, or unprepared for consequences
- Sen. Albritton: May be unknowable
- Comment: Do we drive smoking back underground? Smokers doing it in a more unsafe manner or in unapproved areas?
- Comment: perhaps more enforcement is called for
- Sen. Solinger: Campus is big and contained and hemmed-in by traffic; it's difficult to walk off campus; has an effort even been made to enforce the existing policy?
- Comment: university hasn't provided reasonable places for smoking; people have to go 150 yards outside to smoke, for instance
- Comment: we seem to be sensitive to smokers, but what about nonsmokers? Those who are smoking are inflicting their health issues on others—is it radical to be healthy?
- ASB Representative: To clarify, ASB was concerned with second-hand smoke; 38 smoking areas exist, and has been a problem for students, and enforcement is difficult
- Sen. Watson: wouldn't new policy have the same problems?
- ASB rep: Makes reporting of incidents much easier, with no "gray areas"
- Comment: Is public health issue; 15-20% of students smoke and stopping that in college would be a positive public health move
- Comment: Ticketing could be effective, but is not easy issue especially for staff people; reasonable balance should be struck between public health and addiction
- Sen. Albritton: two suggestions:
  - Move to a vote on issue tonight
  - Have Leslie appear before us in April to explain initiative and speak to questions raised
- Sen. Lobur: Is it possible to draw tobacco away from smoking again?
- Move to vote on issue
  - Seconded
  - Passed; debate is closed
  - Yea on resolution: 18
  - Nay on resolution: 21
  - Abstentions: 1
  - Motion is defeated
- Fifth order of business: New business – ASB communication concerning a Green Fund
  - Motion to receive report on Green Fund
    - Moved
    - Seconded
    - Approved
- Sixth order of business: New business - Disaster-resistant university program
  - Initiated through a FEMA project in 2005
    - Would like to invite member of faculty senate to participate in program
    - Point of program is mitigation of future natural hazards such as earthquakes, tornadoes, flooding, ice, etc.
    - Mitigation examples include:

- Earthquake preparedness (e.g. free-flowing natural gas and seismic gas shutoff valves in 27 buildings)
  - Emergency generators for electrical outages
  - Mitigation does not include response; that is handled by a different arm of the university
  - #1 hazard is tornadoes; a tornado in central campus could do \$130 million of damage
  - an earthquake could cause \$115 million of damage
  - Includes things like data backup
- Seventh order of business: New business – Dr. Solinger presents on concealed weapons on campus
  - Legislation passed recently as rider on fisheries
    - Rolls back previous exception to concealed weapons legislation
    - Previous exceptions were for courtrooms, schools, and athletic events
    - Previous exceptions have been removed; concealed weapons are now permitted in those locations (pursuant to existing laws for training and licensing)
    - Will take effect in 2013; conflicts with IHL firearms policy
  - IHL attorneys agree that new legislation is not a good idea
    - Statement in support of previous policy is forthcoming
    - Question: Who is authorized under current rules?
    - Campus police, military personnel
    - Question: Isn't this state law and not changeable?
    - Could be
  - Sen. Reithel: Move to refer to committee
    - Question: What could that accomplish?
    - Sen. Albritton: it would help answer question
    - Seconded
    - Question: was the university administration aware of this?
    - Sen. Solinger: given how the legislation was packaged, may have been overlooked
    - Comment: SOAR meeting has covered issue
    - Sen. Albritton: Refer to committee on academic affairs
  - Dr. Stocks: IHL does not have authority to set law, but can establish policy
    - State has removed criminality of act, but punishment can be rendered at the university level
- Eighth order of business: New business – Communication Sciences and Disorders proposal on cheating and academic dishonesty
  - CSD is small but growing program
    - Larger classes scattered around campus
    - Student complaints in undergraduate classes have been mounting
    - Anecdotal or anonymous complaints
    - Students using information on Blackboard via cell phone during class
    - Students writing information on desks and walls
    - CSD faculty is asking for help/guidance on the issue

- Sen. Albritton: inclination is to refer it to Academic Affairs committee
    - Moved
    - Seconded
    - Passed
- Ninth order of business: New business – elections for faculty senate upcoming
  - Approach chairs for an election in the next two months
- Senator Albritton closed the meeting at 9:00 p.m.